

## REMARKS

Allowance of claims 121-123, 125, 127, 129-133, 136, 140, 142, 143, 164, 425-428, 432 and 434-236 is noted with appreciation.

Responsive to the request to identify all related patents and co-pending applications (with the present application), Applicant submits the following list:

Ser. No. 10/731,068	Pat. No. 5,211,683
Ser. No. 11/264,929	Pat. No. 5,304,220
Ser. No. 11/375,387	Pat. No. 5,456,712
Ser. No. 11/440,267	Pat. No. 5,571,167
Ser. No. 11/673,053	Pat. No. 5,749,375
	Pat. No. 5,934,286
	Pat. No. 5,979,455
	Pat. No. 6,401,721
	Pat. No. 6,599,313
	Pat. No. 7,033,383
	Pat. No. 7,100,617

Responsive to the request to identify specific claims of the applications and/or patents which may present double patenting issues with the instant application claims, Applicant submits that no pending claim in the instant application presents a statutory double-patenting issue with specific claims of any related pending application or issued patent. And, Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's assertion of "significant degree of overlap in claimed subject matter."

In addition, Applicant submits that no pending claim in the instant application may be properly considered for double-patenting issues against a claim in a later-filed co-pending application, in which later-filed applications and separate analyses may be appropriate of then-pending claims for double patenting issues against claims in earlier-filed applications and issued patents.

In consideration of the above Remarks, Applicant submits that neither the claims previously indicated to be allowable (i.e., claims 121-123, 125, 127, 129-133, 136, 140, 142, 143, 164, 425-428, 432 or 434-436) nor the remaining distinguishable independent claims in the present application, as set forth in the following table, find any overlap of claimed subject matter that might fairly support double patenting over earlier claimed subject matter.

Current Claim No.	Claim No. in:	Issued Patent No.
70	-	-
75	-	-
80	-	-
82	-	-
94	-	-
109	-	-
137	-	-
153	-	-
431	-	-
433	-	-

Similarly, no claim dependent from a listed independent claim finds any overlap of claimed subject matter that might fairly support double patenting over earlier claimed subject matter.

Favorable consideration and allowance of all pending claims are solicited.

Respectfully submitted,  
Thomas J. Maginot,

Dated: 5/23/07

By: /Albert C. Smith/

Albert C. Smith, Reg. No. 20,355  
Fenwick & West LLP  
801 California Street  
Mountain View, CA 94041  
Telephone (650) 335-7296  
Fax (650) 938-5200